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Abstract

Competitive sliding window detectors require vast training sets. Since a pool of natural images provides a nearly endless supply of negative samples, in the form of patches at different scales and locations, training with all the available data is considered impractical. A staple of current approaches is hard negative mining, a method of selecting relevant samples, which is nevertheless expensive. Given that samples at slightly different locations have overlapping support, there seems to be an enormous amount of duplicated work. It is natural, then, to ask whether these redundancies can be eliminated.

In this paper, we show that the Gram matrix describing such data is block-circulant. We derive a transformation based on the Fourier transform that block-diagonalizes the Gram matrix, at once eliminating redundancies and partitioning the learning problem. This decomposition is valid for any dense features and several learning algorithms, and takes full advantage of modern parallel architectures. Surprisingly, it allows training with all the potential samples in sets of thousands of images. By considering the full set, we generate in a single shot the optimal solution, which is usually obtained only after several rounds of hard negative mining. We report speed gains on Caltech Pedestrians and INRIA Pedestrians of over an order of magnitude, allowing training on a desktop computer in a couple of minutes.

1. Introduction

At the core of the most successful methods for object detection, images are scanned using learned templates. These templates may model whole objects [7], parts [14], more general mid-level fragments [4, 27] or hierarchies of filters capturing increasingly higher-level features [21]. The templates, most often HOG filters [7], are evaluated exhaustively at all locations in an image over a discrete range of scales, using fast convolution algorithms which exploit the redundancy of overlapping image subwindows.

This type of dense search is very powerful but makes it challenging to learn the filters: learning algorithms for even the simplest models, linear classification and logistic regression, scale to $\sim 10^6$ training examples [13]; however, a handful of test images can contain these many samples. This asymmetry between the resolution of prediction and learning has been tackled by mining for hard negative examples. In this iterative process, an initial model is trained using all positive examples and a randomly selected subset of negative examples, and this initial training set is progressively augmented with false positive examples produced while scanning the images with the model learned so far. Hard negative mining is considered expensive, and this has become less tolerable as the community has strived to scale up to a large number of object models [22].

Some recent attempts at speeding up learning have fit parametric models (Gaussians) to the background distribution [23, 18, 16], however some of these approaches are specific to particular models such as Linear Discriminant Analysis. Additionally, natural image statistics are known to be characterized by long exponential tails [26] hence may not be very faithfully represented by a Gaussian distribution.

Fourier transforms have long been used to perform fast convolution, and were employed recently to accelerate detectors at test time [11]. In concurrent work, they were also used to accelerate detector training, yielding closed-form solutions for Ridge Regression [3, 20], and faster gradient computation by modifying an SVM solver [12]. A similar idea was noted earlier in image interpolation [2], but again by modifying the solver.

Here we propose instead to learn directly from a training set comprising all image subwindows of a predetermined aspect-ratio and show this is feasible for a rich set of popular models including Ridge Regression, Support Vector Regression (SVR) and Logistic Regression. Intuitively, there is much redundancy in the set of all image subwindows, because of their overlap [1]. The crux of our derivation is the observation that the Gram matrix of a set of images and their translated versions, as modeled by cyclic shifts, exhibits a block-circulant structure. We demonstrate that this structure...
enables efficient learning in the Fourier domain for several loss functions, with minimal to no change required in terms of the solver (essentially using off-the-shelf solvers), and for any feature that can be implemented as a linear filter.

We build on recent work that explores the circulant structure of translations in a single image [19] or in pairs (in the temporal domain) [24]. In both cases, learning is limited to Ridge Regression, while we generalize to other models such as the SVR. Additionally, while the circulant matrices used in these works model translations of one sample or a pair of samples, our block-circulant formulation allows an arbitrary number of samples.

Our closed-form decomposition is simple and can be implemented with a few lines of code (Algorithm 1). Full source code for fast training of linear detectors is available\(^1\).

1.1. Contributions

The contributions of this paper are as follows.

1. We show that the structure of overlapping image subwindows allows efficient training of a detector with all subwindows of a set of negative images. This obviates the need for expensive rounds of hard negative mining, which only approximate the full problem.

2. A theoretical analysis of the influence of translated images over a learning problem, by proving that the resulting Gram matrix is block-circulant (Section 2).

3. A closed-form transformation that eliminates redundant degrees-of-freedom, and simultaneously decomposes the problem into small independent subproblems (Sec. 2.2-3). The transformation is valid for several algorithms (Sec. 3).

4. An explicit description of the data matrix which allows the use of fast linear solvers [13], that scale linearly with the number of training examples (Sec. 4-5).

Experiments show that it is possible to train with all subwindows of large training sets (INRIA and Caltech Pedestrians), achieving the same performance as several rounds of hard negative mining in a single run.

1.2. Background

Learning a linear classifier is usually cast as a regularized risk minimization problem [5]. Given \( n \) samples \( x_i \) and corresponding target labels \( y_i \), the goal is to find the optimal weights \( w \),

\[
\min_w \|w\|^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(w^T x_i, y_i),
\]

where \( L \) is a loss function that depends on the training algorithm, and \( c \) is the regularization parameter. Many popular algorithms, including SVM, SVR, Ridge Regression and Logistic Regression can equivalently be expressed in their dual form

\[
\min_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n} D(\alpha_i, y_i),
\]

with a vector \( \alpha \) containing \( n \) dual optimization variables \( \alpha_i \), a function \( D \) that depends on the training algorithm\(^2\), and the \( n \times n \) Gram matrix \( G \), with elements

\[
G_{ij} = x_i^T x_j.
\]

The two solutions are related by \( w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i \).

Typical solvers either accept the set of samples \( x_i \), and thus can optimize the primal or dual, or accept the Gram matrix \( G \) (restricting them to the dual optimization). For datasets with many samples, the first is more advantageous.

Throughout this paper, we will prove most results using the dual formulation (Section 2-3). To avoid creating a Gram matrix in practice, which scales as \( \mathcal{O}(n^2) \), we extend our results by presenting an explicit data matrix (Section 4).

Most of the analysis is equally applicable to non-linear problems, where \( G \) is a kernel matrix [5]. However, in this paper we focus on the linear case.

2. Training sets of translated samples

In detection tasks, it is common to train a classifier with negative examples that are cropped from a large set of images. Although they are usually treated as i.i.d. samples [7, 14], they share an additional structure: samples from the same image are translated versions of one another. This means that overlapping pixels are constrained to be the same, resulting in a reduced number of degrees of freedom.

Consider a sample \( x \), consisting of dense features extracted inside a particular image subwindow. To simplify the discussion, assume a 1D image and a single feature, so \( x \) is an \( s \times 1 \) vector; we will later show the extension to the general case is trivial. We can translate \( x \) by one element, by multiplying it with the cyclic shift permutation matrix \( P \),

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{s-1}^T \\ I_{s-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0_{s-1} \\ 0_{s-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( 0_{s-1} \) is a column-vector of \( s-1 \) zeros and \( I_{s-1} \) is an \((s-1) \times (s-1)\) identity matrix. This operation is a cyclic shift: all elements are shifted one place to the right, and the element that exits the image on one side will reappear on the other side. Shifting by \( u \) elements is achieved by raising \( P \) to the \( u \)th power, \( P^u x \). Negative powers shift

\(^2\)Algorithms, such as the SVM, that constrain \( \alpha_i \) to some set \( S \) can be dealt with easily, by defining \( D(\alpha_i, y_i) = \infty \) if \( \alpha_i \notin S \).
in the opposite direction. Since \( P^0 \) is always the identity matrix, the original sample is recovered for \( u = 0 \).

Our goal, then, is to train a classifier not only with a set of \( n \) samples \( x_i \), but also their translations, as modeled by cyclic shifts. In the following sections, we will consider an augmented training set \( \mathcal{X} \), with a total of \( ns \) samples: \( s \) translations of \( n \) base samples. Fig. 1-a illustrates this idea.

\[
\mathcal{X} = \{ P^{u-1} x_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n; \ u = 1, \ldots, s \}. \quad (5)
\]

### 2.1. The structure of the Gram matrix

As mentioned in Section 1.2, many learning algorithms can be expressed in the dual, in terms of a Gram matrix \( G \). We will see how \( G \) is affected by translated samples.

For the training set in Eq. 5, each row of \( G \) corresponds to a sample characterized by an index \( i \) and a translation \( u \), and each column to another sample with index \( j \) and translation \( v \). To deal with this fact, we will partition \( G \) into \( s \times s \) blocks of size \( n \times n \). Each block \( (u,v) \) corresponds to a pair of sample translations, and each element of a block \( (i,j) \) corresponds to a pair of base samples (see Fig. 1-b).

We will denote the element \( (i,j) \) of block \( (u,v) \) by \( G_{(u,v),(i,j)} \). Given Eq. 5, the Gram matrix is

\[
G_{(u,v),(i,j)} = (P^{u-1} x_i)^T P^{v-1} x_j. \quad (6)
\]

Using known properties of permutation matrices \cite{17},

\[
G_{(u,v),(i,j)} = x_i^T P^{v-u} x_j. \quad (7)
\]

Since the blocks \( (u,v) \) are not independent, but a function of \( v - u \), \( G \) is a block-circulant matrix \cite{8}. \footnote{More precisely, \( P^{v-u} \) is a function of \((v-u) \) modulus \( n \), because of its cyclic nature: \( P^{kn} = P^0 \) for all integers \( k \).}

The structure of \( G \) can be made more evident as

\[
G_{(u,v),(i,j)} = g_{v-u}(i,j), \quad (8)
\]

which resorts to \( n^2 \) auxiliary vectors \( g(i,j) \), each with \( s \) elements given by

\[
g_{v}(i,j) = x_i^T P^v x_j. \quad (9)
\]

This reveals that storing the full \( G \) (which is \( n s \times n s \)) is not necessary, as only \( n^2 \) vectors with \( s \) elements are required.

Another advantage is that, since Eq. 9 computes dot-products between \( x_i \) and all translations of \( x_j \), it is equivalent to the correlation between vectors (denoted with \( \odot \)),

\[
g(i,j) = x_i \odot x_j. \quad (10)
\]

Consequently, we can invoke the Convolution Theorem \cite{17} to compute these correlations faster in the Fourier domain:

\[
g(i,j) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} (\mathcal{F}(x_i) \odot \mathcal{F}(x_j)), \quad (11)
\]

where \( \odot \) denotes the element-wise product, \( \ast \) complex-conjugation, \( \mathcal{F}(\cdot) \) denotes the Fourier transform, and \( \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\cdot) \) its inverse.

### 2.2. Block-diagonalization of \( G \)

Recall that each element of \( G \) encodes the interaction between a pair of samples from \( \mathcal{X} \). Intuitively, if the interactions between some groups of samples are set to 0, we can treat each group independently in subsequent computations. This is proved in Section 3. For now, we will see a transformation of \( G \) that achieves this goal.

Block-diagonalization consists of finding a matrix \( U \) such that \( \bar{G} = U G U^{-1} \) takes block-diagonal form:
\[ \tilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{G}(1) \\ \tilde{G}(2) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{G}(s) \end{bmatrix}. \] (12)

The \( s \) diagonal blocks are denoted \( \tilde{G}(f) \), and the remaining elements are 0. Each block is \( n \times n \).

Since \( G \) is block-circulant, a closed-form solution for \( U \) exists [9]. It is given by

\[ U = F_s \otimes I_n \] (13)

where \( \otimes \) is the Kronecker product, \( I_n \) an identity matrix, and \( F_s \) the \( s \times s \) Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) matrix [8]. Multiplying any vector by the DFT matrix of corresponding size is equivalent to taking its Fourier transform \( (F_s x = \mathcal{F}(x)) \), so it is not surprising that we can block-diagonalize \( G \) purely using Fourier transforms. Each \( \tilde{G}(f) \) block has elements [9]

\[ \tilde{G}_{ij}(f) = \bar{g}_j(i, j), \] (14)

with \( \bar{g}(i, j) = \mathcal{F}(g(i, j)) \). Plugging in Eq. 11, it simplifies to

\[ \bar{g}(i, j) = \mathcal{F}^*(x_i) \otimes \mathcal{F}(x_j). \] (15)

3. Separability of learning problems

We now need to prove the intuition that the blocks of a block-diagonal Gram matrix \( G \) indeed represent independent learning problems. This can yield considerable computation and storage savings, since the number of elements under consideration is \( (s-1)s \) times smaller than for the full \( G \). The exact partitioning into sub-problems is also suitable for parallel implementations that take full advantage of modern architectures.

At this point, it is important to point out that the transformation matrix \( U \) we used in Section 2.2 is unitary. This implies that \( U^{-1} = U^H \), where \( (\cdot)^H \) is the Hermitian transpose (transposition and complex-conjugation).

The interest in unitary transformations lies in the fact that they preserve dot-products: if \( \bar{a} = Ua \) and \( \bar{b} = Ub \), then \( \bar{a}^H \bar{b} = a^H b \). Because \( L^2 \)-norms are dot-products, they are also preserved: \( \bar{a}^H \bar{a} = ||a||^2 = ||\bar{a}||^2 \).

**Theorem.** Given a unitary matrix \( U \) such that \( \bar{G} = UGU^{-1} \) is block-diagonal, with \( s \) blocks \( \bar{G}_f \), then Eq. 2 can be decomposed into the \( s \) sub-problems

\[ \min_{\alpha_j} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_j^H \bar{G}_f \alpha_f + \sum_i^n D(\bar{\alpha}_fi, \bar{\gamma}_fi), \quad f = 1, \ldots, s, \] (16)

with the transformed variables \( \bar{\alpha} = U\alpha \) and \( \bar{\gamma} = U\gamma \). Both \( \bar{\alpha} \) and \( \bar{\gamma} \) are partitioned into \( s \) blocks \( \bar{\alpha}_f \) and \( \bar{\gamma}_f \), each with \( n \) elements \( \bar{\alpha}_fi \) and \( \bar{\gamma}_fi \).

This relation is exact if the function \( D \) only depends on dot-products of its arguments, and approximate otherwise.

The proof is given in Appendix A.1 (supplemental material). We now discuss the implications for a number of learning algorithms.

**Ridge Regression.** Ridge regression (RR) is a regularized form of least-squares, with loss function

\[ L(w^T x, y_i) = (w^T x - y_i)^2. \] (17)

The dual has \( D(\alpha_i, y_i) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i y_i \) [25]. Since its terms are all dot-products, the decomposition is exact.

**Support Vector Regression.** \( L^2 \)-SVR penalizes errors with the squared epsilon-insensitive loss

\[ L(f(x), y) = ||w^T x - y||_\epsilon^2 = \max(0, ||w^T x - y|| - \epsilon)^2. \] (18)

The dual has \( D(\alpha_i, y_i) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i^2 - \alpha_i y_i + \epsilon ||\alpha_i|| \) [28, Section 6.2.2]. Only the last term, an \( L^1 \)-norm, is not a dot-product. As such, the approximation error is bounded by \( \epsilon ||\alpha||_1 - ||\alpha||_1 \).

**The general case.** The same analysis applies to \( L^1 \)-SVR, Logistic Regression and other dual formulations, with varying degrees of approximation. It is also possible to characterize the transformations that preserve \( L^1 \) and \( L^2 \)-norms exactly, but such a restriction makes them less useful (this is explored in Appendix A.1.1). We do not use SVM because it restricts the labels to \( \{-1, 1\} \), and a unitary transformation would fall outside this set.

4. Explicit data matrix

The transformed Gram matrix for each of the \( s \) sub-problems, \( \bar{G}(f) \), can be used directly in a dual solver (e.g., libsvm [13]). However, if \( n \) is large, an explicit description of the transformed data matrix that generates such a Gram matrix (through Eq. 3) would be more desirable.

By inspecting Eq. 14-15, it can be seen that each block \( \bar{G}(f) \) corresponds to a distinct Fourier frequency \( f \), and each of its elements \((i, j)\) is simply the product of frequency \( f \) of the Fourier transforms of samples \( x_i \) and \( x_j \).

Because it is a simple product, it can be factorized into

\[ \bar{G}(f) = \bar{X}(f) \bar{X}^H(f), \] (19)
Algorithm 1 MATLAB code for the Circulant Decomposition. Equivalent to solving a regression with all spatial translations of the given samples. The independent regression sub-problems can be solved in parallel. The full test suite can be downloaded at: www.isr.uc.pt/~henriques/

Inputs:
• X (m features on a s1 × s2 grid for n samples, total size s1 × s2 × m × n)
• Y (labels, size s1 × s2 × n)
• regression (a linear regression function)

Output:
• W (weights, size s1 × s2 × m)
X = fft2(X) / sqrt(s1*s2);
Y = fft2(Y) / sqrt(s1*s2);
Y(1,1,:) = 0;
X = permute(X, [4, 3, 1, 2]);
Y = permute(Y, [3, 1, 2]);
for f1 = 1:s1:
    for f2 = 1:s2:
        W(f1,f2,:) = regression(...
            X(:,1,f1,f2), Y(:,f1,f2));
    end
end
W = real(ifft2(W)) * sqrt(s1*s2);

where \( \tilde{X}(f) \) is an \( n \times 1 \) vector with the Fourier frequency \( f \) of each sample. This explicit description of the data matrix \( \tilde{X}(f) \) allows us to use a fast primal solver such as liblinear [13].

Extension to two dimensions. All properties of the Fourier transform (FT) and block-circulant matrices we used have direct equivalents in 2D, i.e., when samples contain \( s_1 \times s_2 \) spatial cells. We just have to replace the 1D FT with the 2D FT, and set \( s = s_1s_2 \).

Extension for multiple features per cell. We can simply extend \( \tilde{X}(f) \) to be an \( n \times m \) matrix with the Fourier frequency \( f \) of \( m \) features. By additivity of the dot-product, the Gram matrix obtained this way is the sum of Gram matrices over all \( m \) features, and all properties are preserved.

5. Complex-valued regression

The fact that the data matrices of Eq. 19 are complex may apparently present some difficulties, since regression is usually real-valued. In this section we discuss some solutions. We consider a generic data matrix \( X \) and regression targets \( y \).

The simple algorithm of Ridge Regression is already prepared to deal with complex values:

\[
\mathbf{w}^* = (X^H X + c^{-1}I)^{-1} X^H \mathbf{y}, \tag{20}
\]

where \( c \) is the regularization parameter (Section 1.2). The only difference from the real case is that the solution must be complex-conjugated. Ridge Regression usually performs only slightly worse than SVR in many tasks, so it is useful as a validation for more complicated approaches [25].

The SVR can deal with the complex case by extending its loss function (Eq. 18) from the real line to the complex plane:

\[
L(\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{x}, y) = |\text{Re}(\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{x} - y)|_c^2 + |\text{Im}(\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{x} - y)|_c^2, \tag{21}
\]

where \( \text{Re}(\cdot) \) extracts the real part of a complex number, and \( \text{Im}(\cdot) \) the imaginary part. For real arguments, this reduces to the simple SVR. We can prove (Appendix A.2) that this is equivalent to a simple SVR with the augmented data matrix \( \mathbf{X}' \) and augmented targets \( \mathbf{y}' \):

\[
\mathbf{X}' = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(\mathbf{X}) & \text{Im}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \text{Im}(\mathbf{X}) & -\text{Re}(\mathbf{X}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y}' = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(\mathbf{y}) \\ \text{Im}(\mathbf{y}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{22}
\]

and the complex solution \( \mathbf{w} \) can be reconstructed from the augmented real solution \( \mathbf{w}' \):

\[
\mathbf{w}' = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \text{Im}(\mathbf{w}) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{23}
\]

Note that this is not the same as simply concatenating the real and imaginary parts as features. The structure of \( \mathbf{X}' \) ensures that the properties of complex numbers are respected (e.g., \( i.i = -1 \), with \( i \) the pure-imaginary unit).

6. Experiments

We tested the proposed decomposition on a number of detection tasks. Recall that our method replaces the traditional hard negative mining steps with a single learning phase. As such, the goal of these experiments is not to show greater accuracy, but to verify that we can achieve accuracy that is competitive with several rounds of hard negative mining, all other components being constant. If we introduced different variations (features, etc), results would be less conclusive. We chose the task of learning a single HOG filter from full object exemplars, which captures the core component shared by most modern object detectors [14, 4]. Note that our contribution is orthogonal to other recent advances in object detection, such as the use of part filters and multiple object components [14, 4, 27].

In our tests, we will apply the Circulant Decomposition (CD) to an SVR solver, as we found SVR to perform similarly to regular SVM in practical object detection tasks. The experiments will evaluate several aspects: 1) how detection performance with the CD single-batch learning relates to SVM learning as the number of rounds of hard negative
Recall the recent Caltech Pedestrian Detection Benchmark [10]. We experimented with pedestrian detection on two standard datasets: the well-known INRIA Pedestrians [7] and the recent Caltech Pedestrian Detection Benchmark [10].

6.1. Pedestrian detection

We experimented with pedestrian detection on two standard datasets: the well-known INRIA Pedestrians [7] and the recent Caltech Pedestrian Detection Benchmark [10].

We will jump straight away to the main point of our paper: that a Circulant Decomposition is equivalent to training with all negative windows, a feat that can only be approximated by several rounds of hard negative mining. Fig. 2 shows a comparison of CD and different numbers of hard negative rounds for INRIA Pedestrians and Fig. 3 shows the same comparison for the Caltech Pedestrian Detection Benchmark. Average Precision (AP) is shown in brackets. The results suggest that the Circulant Decomposition performs on par with the slower and intrinsically less complete process of learning with hard negative mining.

6.1.1 Implementation

We followed the original implementation of the HOG pedestrian detector [7]. On INRIA Pedestrians the baseline classifier is trained with 12180 random negative windows, before mining hard negative examples from the set of 1218 negative images, which contains $\sim 10^8$ potential windows. For our method, which can train with all $\sim 10^8$ windows in this set, we consider a total of $\sim 10^5$ base samples. The finer translations within each patch are implicitly dealt with by the Circulant Decomposition, which is the main advantage of our approach. We proceed similarly on the "reasonable" subset of the Caltech Pedestrian dataset, composed of 4250 training images obtained every 30 frames, of which 2217 are negative images, without pedestrians. All tests were done on a quad-core 3.0Ghz desktop computer. Both CD and mining implementations are parallelized, providing a fair representation of a modern set-up.

An implementation of the proposed method using this data matrix is given in Algorithm 1. For HOG descriptors, $m$ is the number of orientation bins, over an $s_1 \times s_2$ grid. The indexing operations to make each sub-problem work on a different Fourier frequency $f$ are performed by the built-in function permute. The factors $\sqrt{s_1 s_2}$ are needed because most FFT implementations are only unitary if corrected by this scalar factor. Recall that $s = s_1 s_2$ when generalizing from one to two-dimensions (Section 4).

Additionally, we verified experimentally that it is necessary for the regression targets to have no DC component (line 3 of Algorithm 1.) A possible reason is that the DC component of the data, which corresponds to the mean in the spatial domain, typically has values several orders of magnitude larger than the remaining frequencies. Modeling this effect properly may lead to better performance, but will be left for future work.

6.1.2 Cyclic shifts as a model for translation

Since samples must have the same support as the learned template $w$, cyclic shifts of a template-sized sample are less accurate for large translations, due to wrap-around effects. Thus in practice we collect base samples $x_t$ from negative images in a grid, at regular intervals of $2/3$ of the template
size, which accounts for large translations. We verified experimentally that there is little impact in performance if we assume cyclic shifts are accurate up to $\sim 1/3$ of the template size in all directions. The cyclic shifts $P^{u-1}x_i$ model the finer translations, which comes at no additional cost when using the Circulant Decomposition. This allows us to effectively model a sliding window, while collecting only a few dozen base samples per negative image.

To verify that the gain in performance is truly due to the Circulant Decomposition and not the grid sampling scheme, we trained a full SVM classifier with the same base samples. The results in Fig. 4-a show that performance drops significantly without the proposed decomposition, which also makes training faster and easier to parallelize.

### 6.1.3 Positive samples

Another aspect of our method is that we must choose labels for translations of positive samples, since they are implicitly accounted for during training.

A translation of a positive sample at some point becomes a false positive, so a simple choice is to assign it the label +1 if $t = 0$ (aligned), and -1 if $t \neq 0$ (misaligned). Alternatively, and taking advantage of the fact that regression allows labels outside the set $\{-1, +1\}$, we can use a Gaussian function to interpolate smoothly between the two, according to a Gaussian bandwidth $\sigma$. For $\sigma = 0$ the function looks like a single peak, and we recover the first choice we mentioned. This function plays a similar role to the training output plane in correlation filters [19, 3].

Performance on INRIA Pedestrians as this parameter varies is shown in Fig. 4-b. Larger bandwidths seem to degrade performance, and the best performance is attained near $\sigma = 0$. Since good localization (suppressing misaligned detections) is important in detection tasks, this result agrees with intuition.

### 6.2. ETHZ Shapes

As mentioned earlier, translations of positive samples are also considered. It is possible that these “virtual samples” help regularize the solution in settings with a scarcity of positive samples. To test this hypothesis, we followed the same methodology as before but on the ETHZ Shapes dataset [15]. This dataset has only between 22 and 45 positive examples for each of its 5 categories (Mugs, Bottles, Swans, Giraffes and Apple Logos), evenly split into training and testing sets. Unlike on the pedestrian detection benchmarks, where positive examples abound, here results are markedly improved using the proposed method, as visible in Fig. 5. We conjecture, based on these results, that our approach could also benefit applications such as one-shot learning and transfer learning.

### 7. Conclusion

Supported by the observation that the Gram matrix of the set of training images and their translations is block-circulant, we have derived a closed-form decomposition that allows for popular filter-based detectors to be efficiently learned from all subwindows with fixed aspect-ratio extracted over predefined scales, in a single batch, on datasets with a few thousand training images. This is surprising since the number of subwindows is in the order of $10^8$, which seems to even preclude loading the data into the computer’s memory, but is feasible using our proposed embedding of the learning problem into the Fourier domain. Our methodology is likely to have broad applicability, as it allows for both a much more efficient and more complete learning process than iterative hard negative mining, used for learning virtually all modern object detectors.

As future work we plan to extend our experiments beyond single-HOG detectors, and learn the types of filter collections employed by current state-of-the-art object de-
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Figure 5: Performance on ETHZ Shapes, a dataset with scarce training data (between 22 and 45 positive examples per class, with an equal train-test split). In addition to allowing models to be trained faster, the Circulant Decomposition achieves higher performance in this case, perhaps due to the implicit inclusion of translated positive samples. (Mean AP is shown in brackets.)